

A Thesis for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**Computational simulation of Boltzmann-based
hydrodynamic models for rarefied and
microscale gases and viscoelastic fluids in
highly non-equilibrium state**

By

Tushar Chourushi

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Graduate School

GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

February, 2022

**Computational simulation of Boltzmann-based
hydrodynamic models for rarefied and
microscale gases and viscoelastic fluids in
highly non-equilibrium state**

**A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School
of the Gyeongsang National University**

**By
Tushar Chourushi**

**In partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree
of Ph.D. in Engineering**

February, 2022

Prof. Dr. Rho Shin Myong, Dissertation Supervisor

**Approved by the Committees of the Graduate School
of Gyeongsang National University in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of Ph.D. in Engineering**

Dissertation Committee: **Prof. Dr. Hakjin Lee**_____

Chairman

Prof. Dr. Jae Hyun Park_____

Dr. Ji Hong Kim_____

Dr. Satyvir Singh_____

Prof. Rho Shin Myong_____

Supervisor

Date: 2022. 02

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

GRADUATE SCHOOL

GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Acknowledgement

To begin with, I will lend the words of Sir Isaac Newton's letter to the Hooke in 1675, "*If I have seen further, it is by standing on the shoulders of giants.*" In this sense, I would like to extend my sincere thanks to many giants.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my academic advisor Prof. *Rho Shin Myong* for providing me the opportunity to pursue Ph.D. studies under his supervision. I am thankful for all his support, encouragement, and valuable suggestions during this thesis. From him, I learned how to approach a scientific research problem. He is a great mentor in both research and life.

I sincerely would like to thank my Ph.D. dissertation committee members: Professor Hakjin Lee and Professor Jae Hyun Park from the Department of Aerospace engineering of Gyeongsang National University, South Korea; Dr. Ji Hong Kim of Korea Aerospace Industries, LTD, and Dr. Satyvir Singh from Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, for their constructive criticism that helped me finalize this dissertation.

I am also grateful to all my colleagues in Aerospace Computational Modeling Laboratory. My special thanks to Dr. Satyvir Singh, Prof. Tapan K. Mankodi of IIT Guwahati, Bidesh Sengupta, and Dr. Kishore K. Kammara for their help and support, during the Ph.D. program.

My family, particularly my daughter, my wife, my parents, and my sister also deserve a big thank you, for their unconditional love and support during my tough moments of life. Finally, I would like to acknowledge the support from the Brain Korea 21 plus (BK 21+) program and the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Korea (NRF 2017-R1A5A1015311 and 2017-R1A2B2007634). In this spirit:

"The greatest glory in living lies not in falling, but in rising every time we fall."

- Nelson Mandela.

Dedication

*To my beloved daughter, wife, and parents
(Thanks for the care and support)*

Table of Contents

Acknowledgement	iii
Dedication	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xxi
Nomenclatures	xxii
Abstract	xxix
Abstract in Korean	xxxii
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Rarefied and microscale gases and viscoelastic fluid flows	1
1.2 Motivation	1
1.3 Background	2
1.3.1 Review of important works on ‘microscale cylindrical Couette’	2
1.3.2 Review of important works on ‘hypersonic re-entry vehicles’	4
1.3.3 Review of important works on ‘high Weissenberg number singularity’	6
1.4 Objectives.....	7
1.5 Outline.....	7
Part I: Rarefied and microscale gas flows	10
Chapter 2. Basics of gas kinetic theory	11
2.1 Classification of gas flow regimes	11
2.1.1 Classification based on Knudsen number.....	11
2.1.2 Classification based on Tsien’s parameter	13
2.1.3 Estimation of mean free path.....	14
2.2 Microscopic and macroscopic flow properties	15

2.2.1 Basic molecular gas properties	15
2.2.2 Macroscopic flow properties	16
2.3 Classical Boltzmann-Curtiss kinetic equation	20
2.4 Molecular dynamics simulation	22
2.5 Direct simulation Monte Carlo method.....	23
2.6 Moments of Boltzmann-Curtiss kinetic equation	24
2.6.1 Collisional invariants.....	24
2.6.2 Conservation laws	25
2.7 Summary of the Chapter	26
Chapter 3. Boltzmann-Curtiss based hydrodynamic models: Rarefied and microscale gases.....	27
3.1 The moment method	27
3.2 Eu's generalized hydrodynamic equations.....	30
3.3 Boltzmann-based constitutive models.....	35
3.3.1 Zero-order model.....	35
3.3.2 First-order model.....	36
3.3.3 Second-order balanced closure model.....	38
3.4 Governing equations for numerical simulation.....	39
3.4.1 Conservative form of the conservation laws	40
3.4.2 Dimensionless form of the governing equations	41
3.5 Topological representation of the second-order Boltzmann-Curtiss constitutive relations.....	44
3.6 Numerical solver for the second-order balanced closure model.....	47
3.7 Numerical breakdown parameters.....	53
3.7.1 Bird's breakdown parameter	53
3.7.2 Boyd's breakdown parameter.....	54
3.7.3 Rayleigh-Onsager's breakdown parameter	54

3.8 Summary of the Chapter	55
Chapter 4. Numerical methodology for rarefied and microscale gas flows	56
4.1 Discontinuous Galerkin method.....	56
4.1.1 Merits and demerits of discontinuous Galerkin method.....	56
4.1.2 Mixed-type modal discontinuous Galerkin method	57
4.1.3 Modal basis function	58
4.2 Numerical integration and flux functions	61
4.3 Time step calculation	66
4.4 Initialization of DG solver	66
4.5 Boundary conditions	67
4.5.1 Far-Field boundary	68
4.5.2 Inviscid wall boundary	68
4.5.3 No-slip viscous wall boundary	69
4.5.4 Viscous slip/jump wall boundary	70
4.5.5 Symmetry boundary	73
4.5.6 Periodic wall boundary.....	74
4.6 Higher-Order limiters.....	75
4.6.1 Positivity preserving limiter	75
4.6.2 The Barth Jespersen limiter	76
4.7 Summary of the Chapter	77
Chapter 5. Solution of Boltzmann-Curtiss based hydrodynamic models for rarefied and microscale gases	78
5.1 Two-dimensional lid-driven cavity flow.....	78
5.1.1 Verification and validation of lid-driven cavity flows	80
5.1.2 Results for monatomic argon gas in a lid-driven cavity.....	81
5.2 Two-dimensional cylindrical Couette flow (CCF)	83
5.2.1 Verification and validation of CCF	85

5.2.2 Results for monatomic, diatomic, and polyatomic gas in a CCF	88
5.3 Two-dimensional hypersonic re-entry flow	101
5.3.1 Verification and validation of high Mach number flows	103
5.3.2 Results for the hypersonic flow of monatomic, diatomic, and polyatomic gas over re-entry vehicles.....	104
5.4 Three-dimensional hypersonic re-entry flow	112
5.5 Summary of the Chapter	118
Part II: Viscoelastic fluid flows.....	119
Chapter 6. Basics of viscoelastic fluids.....	120
6.1 Classification of non-Newtonian fluids	120
6.2 Shear-thinning and shear-thickening flows.....	124
6.2.1 Shear-thinning fluid flows	124
6.2.2 Shear-thickening fluid flows	125
6.3 Viscoelastic flow phenomena	126
6.3.1 The Weissenberg rod-climbing effect	127
6.3.2 Die swell, barus effect	128
6.3.3 Normal stress differences	129
6.3.4 Extensional flow	130
6.4 Classical Langevin equation	132
6.5 Expression for conformation tensor	133
6.6 Summary of the Chapter	136
Chapter 7. Viscoelastic constitutive models and high Weissenberg number problem	137
7.1 Viscoelastic fluids and their non-linear responses	137
7.2 Existing viscoelastic models	138
7.2.1 Linear viscoelastic model.....	138
7.2.2 Non-linear viscoelastic models.....	140

7.3 Myong’s “sinh” second-order nonlinear coupled constitutive model.....	142
7.4 Governing equations for viscoelastic fluids.....	143
7.4.1 Single-phase flow.....	143
7.4.2 Multi-phase flow.....	144
7.5 Extensional viscosity plot of viscoelastic models.....	145
7.6 High Weissenberg number problem and numerical developments.....	147
7.6.1 Both-side-diffusion approach.....	148
7.6.2 Log-conformation-reformulation approach.....	149
7.7 Summary of the Chapter.....	150
Chapter 8. Numerical methodology for viscoelastic fluid flows.....	151
8.1 Finite volume method (OpenFOAM).....	151
8.1.1 Discretization of the solution domain in OpenFOAM framework.....	151
8.1.2 Discretization of transport equations.....	152
8.2 Flux formulation and pressure equation.....	154
8.3 Formulation of semi-implicit discretized constitutive equation.....	156
8.4 Discretization of the free surface interface.....	156
8.5 Solution algorithm.....	158
8.6 Boundary conditions.....	160
8.6.1 Dirichlet boundary.....	160
8.6.2 Neumann boundary.....	161
8.7 High-Resolution schemes.....	161
8.7.1 Normalized variable approach.....	162
8.7.2 High-Resolution Equi-Gradient (HREG) scheme.....	163
8.8 Summary of the Chapter.....	165
Chapter 9. Solution of high Weissenberg number viscoelastic flows.....	166
9.1 Uniaxial extensional flows.....	166
9.1.1 Extensional viscosity of UCM/Oldroyd-B model.....	168

9.1.2 Extensional viscosity of Giesekus model	169
9.1.3 Extensional viscosity of PTT model.....	170
9.1.4 Extensional viscosity of Myong’s “sinh” second-order NCCR model	171
9.1.5 Validation of extensional viscosity of Myong’s “sinh” second-order NCCR model with the experiment.....	173
9.2 Two-dimensional (2D) shear flows.....	174
9.2.1 Shear viscosity of UCM/Oldroyd-B model.....	175
9.2.2 Shear viscosity of Giesekus model.....	176
9.2.3 Shear viscosity of PTT model	177
9.2.4 Shear viscosity of Myong’s “sinh” second-order NCCR model.....	178
9.2.5 Validation of shear viscosity of Myong’s “sinh” second-order NCCR model with the experiment.....	179
9.3 Comparison of shear viscosity of different viscoelastic models using the traceless formulation.....	180
9.4 Two-dimensional (2D) fluid systems.....	182
9.4.1 Flow over a confined cylinder	182
9.4.2 Free-surface flows	194
9.5 Summary of the Chapter	208
Chapter 10. Conclusions and future works	210
10.1 Concluding remarks	210
10.1.1 Conclusions regarding the rarefied and microscale gas flows	210
10.1.2 Conclusions regarding the viscoelastic fluid flows	212
10.2 Scope of future works	213
10.2.1 Vibrational nonequilibrium effects.....	213
10.2.2 Unsteady turbulent flows.....	214
10.2.3 Multiphase viscoelastic flows.....	214
Appendix A. Derivation of conservation laws and constitutive equations from the Boltzmann-Curtiss kinetic equation.....	216

Appendix B. Analytic solutions for cylindrical Couette flow of compressible gas with Maxwell slip and Smoluchowski jump conditions.....	230
Appendix C. Quadrature points for numerical integration	237
Appendix D. Calculation of extensional viscosity for viscoelastic fluid....	240
Bibliography	243

List of Figures

Figure 2-1. Classification of the flow regime based on Knudsen number. (Source: Bird G.A., *Clarendon press Oxford* (1994)). 12

Figure 2-2. Classification of the flow regime based on Tsien’s parameter. (Source: Tsien H.-S., *Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences* (1946)). 13

Figure 3-1. First-order and second-order solutions of the Boltzmann–Curtiss-based constitutive model for monatomic ($f_b = 0.0$) and diatomic ($f_b = 0.8$) gases in (a) compression - expansion flow; and (b) velocity shear flow. (Source: Myong R.S., *Journal of Computational Physics* (2004)). 45

Figure 3-2. Topology of the second-order Boltzmann–Curtiss-based constitutive model in the velocity shear flow problem in phase space (Π_{xx}, Π_{xy}, p) for $f_b = 0.0, 1.0$. (Source: Singh et al., *Physics of Fluids* (2020)). 47

Figure 3-3. Decomposition of the second-order constitutive relations for multi-dimensional flow: (a) primary surface integral; (b) secondary volume integral. (Source: Singh et al., *Journal of Computational Physics, In Review* (2021)). 52

Figure 4-1. Schematic representation of the number of required quadrature points and their location used for different element types: (a) 1D elements; (b) 2D triangular elements. (Source: Karchani A., *ACML-Ph.D. Thesis, Gyeongsang National University* (2017)). 62

Figure 4-2. Schematic representation of the number of required quadrature points and their location used in 3D tetrahedron elements. (Source: Karchani A., *ACML-Ph.D. Thesis, Gyeongsang National University* (2017)). 63

Figure 5-1. Schematic flow diagram of the two-dimensional, lid-driven cavity. 79

Figure 5-2. Schematic flow diagram of the two-dimensional, lid-driven cavity. (Source: Chourushi et al., *Journal of Computational Fluids Engineering* (2018)). 79

Figure 5-3. Comparison of velocity components u and v along the central lines (y/L and x/L) for different gas flow regimes: (a) $Kn = 0.000647$; (b) $Kn = 0.0259$, at $M = 0.16$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *Journal of Computational Fluids Engineering* (2018)). 80

Figure 5-4. Streamline plot of lid-driven cavity for different Reynolds number: (a) $Re = 100$; (b) $Re = 400$; (c) $Re = 1000$, at $Kn = 0.000647$, and $M = 0.16$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *Journal of Computational Fluids Engineering* (2018)). 81

Figure 5-5. Contour plots of Mach (top half) and temperature (bottom half) for different Reynolds number: (a) $Re = 100$; (b) $Re = 400$; (c) $Re = 1000$, at $Kn = 0.000647$, and $M = 0.16$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *Journal of Computational Fluids Engineering* (2018))...... 82

Figure 5-6. Comparison of heat flux stream traces overlaid on the temperature contours for different Knudsen numbers: (a) $Kn = 0.00259$; (b) $Kn = 0.0259$; (c) $Kn = 0.05$, at $M = 0.16$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *Journal of Computational Fluids Engineering* (2018)). 83

Figure 5-7. Sketch of the computational domain for cylindrical Couette flow (CCF). 84

Figure 5-8. Relative error norms of conserved and non-conserved variables for different non-equilibrium parameters of argon gas using the first-order Navier-Stokes-Fourier (NSF) equations with Maxwell slip and Smoluchowski jump conditions: (a) $N_\delta = 0.001$ ($Kn = 0.002$); (b) $N_\delta = 0.025$ ($Kn = 0.05$); (c) $N_\delta = 0.25$ ($Kn = 0.5$). (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021))..... 86

Figure 5-9. Plot of tangential velocity profiles of argon gas with the existing DSMC results [33] in the radial direction for $r_o/r_i = 1.67$, $Kn = 0.5$, and $M = 0.3$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 87

Figure 5-10. Normalized torque at inner rotating cylinder for varied Knudsen numbers with different values of momentum and thermal accommodation coefficients: (a) $\sigma_u = \sigma_T = 1.0$; (b) $\sigma_u = \sigma_T = 0.5$; (c) $\sigma_u = \sigma_T = 0.1$, at $M = 0.1$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 88

Figure 5-11. Plot of conserved variables and integrated quantities with varying power-law index and Knudsen numbers: (a) tangential velocity and torque coefficient; (b) temperature and Stanton number, at $M = 0.5$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 89

Figure 5-12. Plot of conserved variables and integrated quantities with varying accommodation coefficients: (a) tangential velocity and torque coefficient; (b) temperature and Stanton number, at $Kn = 0.1$ and $M = 0.5$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 91

Figure 5-13. Profiles of non-classical effects of gas ($\gamma = 5/3$) for $f_b = 1.0$ along the radial direction, at $Kn = 0.1, 1.0$, and $M = 0.5$: (a) non-zero normal stress difference; (b) non-

zero tangential heat flux. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 92

Figure 5-14. Profiles of conserved and non-conserved variables of gases ($\bar{\gamma} = 0.4$) with varying bulk viscosity ratio ($f_b = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0$) in the radial direction at $Kn = 1.0$, $M = 0.5$: (a) pressure; (b) density; (c) excess normal stress. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 93

Figure 5-15. Trends of integrated surface quantities gases ($\bar{\gamma} = 0.4$) with varying bulk viscosity ratio ($f_b = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0$) and Knudsen numbers: (a) $\beta = 0.0$; (b) $\beta = 0.05$; (c) $\beta = 0.1$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 94

Figure 5-16. Profiles of conserved variables of gases ($f_b = 0.0$) with varying specific heat ratios ($\bar{\gamma} = 0.125, 0.4, 0.625$) in the radial direction at $Kn = 1.0$, $M = 0.5$: (a) tangential velocity; (b) temperature. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 95

Figure 5-17. Trends of integrated surface quantities gases ($f_b = 0.0$) with varying specific heat ratios ($\bar{\gamma} = 0.125, 0.4, 0.625$) and Knudsen numbers: (a) $\beta = 0.0$; (b) $\beta = 0.05$; (c) $\beta = 0.1$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 97

Figure 5-18. Profiles of conserved and non-conserved variables of argon, nitrogen, and methane gases in the radial direction at $Kn = 1.0$, $M = 0.5$: (a) tangential velocity and normal stress difference; (b) temperature and tangential heat flux. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 98

Figure 5-19. Trends of integrated surface quantities of argon, nitrogen, and methane gases for varying Knudsen numbers: (a) $\beta = 0.0$; (b) $\beta = 0.05$; (c) $\beta = 0.1$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, In Review* (2021)). 99

Figure 5-20. Computational domain and grid characteristics for two-dimensional Apollo vehicle. 102

Figure 5-21. Schematic view of an Apollo vehicle: (a) outer mold line; (b) aerodynamic forces and moments. 102

Figure 5-22. Comparison of profiles of normalized conserved variables measured along the central line, with the obtained results of FLUENT using the Maxwell/Smoluchowski conditions and existing results of Chen et al. [188], at $\text{Kn} = 0.1$ and $M = 5.0$: (a) density; (b) velocity; (c) temperature. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 103

Figure 5-23. Comparison of profiles of surface quantities measured along the surface of the cylinder, with the obtained results of FLUENT using the Maxwell/Smoluchowski conditions and existing results of Chen et al. [188], at $\text{Kn} = 0.1$ and $M = 5.0$: (a) pressure; (b) wall shear stress; (c) wall heat flux. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 104

Figure 5-24. Mach contours for hypersonic rarefied gas flow over the OREX (left) and Apollo (right) vehicles using the first- and second-order constitutive models, at $\alpha_A = 0^0$, $\text{Kn} = 0.05$, and $M = 6.0$: (a) argon gas; (b) nitrogen gas. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 105

Figure 5-25. Temperature contours for hypersonic rarefied gas flow over the OREX (left) and Apollo (right) vehicles using the first- and second-order constitutive models, at $\alpha_A = 0^0$, $\text{Kn} = 0.05$, and $M = 6.0$: (a) argon gas; (b) nitrogen gas. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 107

Figure 5-26. Plot of normalized surface variables for hypersonic rarefied argon gas measured over the surface of the OREX (left) and Apollo (right) vehicles using the first- and second-order constitutive models, at $\alpha_A = 0^0$, $\text{Kn} = 0.05$, and $M = 6.0$: (a) shear stress $\Pi_w / (\rho u_r^2)$; (b) heat flux $Q_w / (\rho u_r^3)$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 108

Figure 5-27. Plot of normalized surface variables for hypersonic rarefied nitrogen gas measured over the surface of the OREX (left) and Apollo (right) vehicles using the first- and second-order constitutive models, at $\alpha_A = 0^0$, $\text{Kn} = 0.05$, and $M = 6.0$: (a) shear stress $\Pi_w / (\rho u_r^2)$; (b) heat flux $Q_w / (\rho u_r^3)$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 109

Figure 5-28. Plot of aerothermodynamic data for hypersonic rarefied argon gas flow over the OREX (left) and Apollo (right) re-entry vehicles for varying degrees of rarefaction (N_δ),

at $\alpha_A = 0^0$ and $M = 6.0$: (a) drag coefficient; (b) heat flux coefficient. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 111

Figure 5-29. Plot of aerothermodynamic data for hypersonic rarefied nitrogen gas flow over the OREX (left) and Apollo (right) re-entry vehicles for varying degrees of rarefaction (N_δ), at $\alpha_A = 0^0$ and $M = 6.0$: (a) drag coefficient; (b) heat flux coefficient. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 112

Figure 5-30. Comparison of profiles of normalized conserved variables measured along the central axis line ($y = 0$) for different Knudsen numbers (0.001, 0.005, and 0.01), at $M = 6.0$ (a) velocity; (b) density; (c) pressure. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 113

Figure 5-31. Plot of pressure contours of hypersonic rarefied argon (top half) and nitrogen (bottom half) gas flow over a three-dimensional Apollo vehicle obtained using the first- and second-order constitutive models for different freestream angles of attack, at $\text{Kn} = 0.05$ and $M = 6.0$: (a) $\alpha_A = 0^0$; (b) $\alpha_A = 10^0$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 115

Figure 5-32. Contours of the Rayleigh-Onsager dissipation function of hypersonic rarefied argon (left) and nitrogen (right) gas flow over a three-dimensional Apollo vehicle obtained using the first- and second-order constitutive models, at $\alpha_A = 0^0$, $\text{Kn} = 0.05$ and $M = 6.0$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 116

Figure 5-33. Comparison of the aerothermodynamic coefficients for varying degrees of rarefaction (N_δ) and freestream angles of attack (α_A) using the first- and second-order constitutive models: (a) lift-to-drag ratio; (b) heat flux coefficient; (c) pitching moment coefficient, at $M = 6.0$. (Source: Chourushi et al., *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, In Review* (2021)). 118

Figure 6-1. Types of non-Newtonian fluids. (Source: Wu Y.-S., *Ph.D. Thesis, University of California* (1990)). 122

Figure 6-2. Types of time-dependent fluid behaviors. (Source: Wu Y.-S., *Ph.D. Thesis, University of California* (1990)). 123

Figure 6-3. Weissenberg rod-climbing effect in an elastic liquid, as opposed to the inertial dipping in a Newtonian liquid. (Source: Barnes H.A., *University of Wales* (2000)). 128

Figure 6-4. Die swell in Newtonian and viscoelastic (2 wt% polyacrylamides (PAM) solution) liquids. (Source: Bandyopadhyay R., <i>Pramana</i> (2013)).	129
Figure 6-5. Schematic representation of extensional flows: (a) uniaxial; (b) biaxial; (c) planar.	130
Figure 6-6. The relationship between stress (I_1 and I_2) tensors for all possible deformation of a viscoelastic fluid is within the shaded areas. (Source: Bird et al., <i>Wiley-Interscience</i> (1987)).	130
Figure 7-1. Schematic representation of Maxwell model.	139
Figure 7-2. Plot of extensional viscosities for Oldroyd-B, Giesekus ($\alpha = 0.05$), and second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1.0$) models, for the uniaxial extensional flow. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,01)).	146
Figure 8-1. Schematic representation of space discretization in the finite volume method.	152
Figure 8-2. Schematic diagram of the solution procedure of PISO algorithm.	160
Figure 8-3. Plot of variables along the positive flow direction: (a) arbitrary control volume mesh; (b) convected variables and distances. (Source: Leonard B.P., <i>International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids</i> (1988)).	163
Figure 8-4. Representation of high-resolution equi-gradient (HREG) scheme on the normalized variable diagram (NVD). (Source: Chourushi T., <i>Applied Mathematics and Computation</i> (2018)).	164
Figure 9-1. Schematic diagram of viscoelastic fluid within stretching rheometer. (Source: Rothstein J.P. and G.H. McKinley, <i>Journal of Rheology</i> (2002)).	167
Figure 9-2. Plot of extensional viscosity of Oldroyd-B model with the strain-rate ($\dot{\epsilon}$).	169
Figure 9-3. Plot of extensional viscosity of Giesekus model with varying α mobility factor and strain-rate ($\dot{\epsilon}$).	170
Figure 9-4. Plot of extensional viscosity of EPTT model for model parameter $e = 0.0102$ with the strain-rate ($\dot{\epsilon}$).	171
Figure 9-5. Plot of extensional viscosity of “sinh” second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1$) model with the strain-rate ($\dot{\epsilon}$). (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,01)).	172
Figure 9-6. Comparison of extensional viscosity of “sinh” second-order NCCR model with the measured extensional viscosity in experimental work of Barnes et al. [242] and Ballman	

[243] against the strain-rate. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University (2021,01)</i>).	174
Figure 9-7. Plot of shear viscosity of UCM/Oldroyd-B model with the shear-rate ($\dot{\gamma}$).	176
Figure 9-8. Plot of shear viscosity of Giesekus ($\alpha = 0.15$) model with the shear-rate ($\dot{\gamma}$).	177
Figure 9-9. Plot of shear viscosity of EPTT model for model parameter $e = 0.0102$ with the shear-rate ($\dot{\gamma}$).	178
Figure 9-10. Plot of shear viscosity of the “sinh” second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1.0$) model with the shear-rate ($\dot{\gamma}$). (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University (2021,01)</i>).	179
Figure 9-11. Comparison of shear viscosity of “sinh” second-order NCCR model with the measured shear viscosity in experimental work of Barnes et al. [242] and Ballman [243], against the shear-rate. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University (2021,01)</i>).	180
Figure 9-12. Comparison of shear viscosity of different viscoelastic models using the traceless formulation with the shear-rate ($\dot{\gamma} = We/\lambda$): (a) Oldroyd-B; (b) Giesekus; (c) EPTT; (d) second-order NCCR. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University (2021,01)</i>).	181
Figure 9-13. Sketch of the computational domain for the two-dimensional flow over a confined circular cylinder, with a blockage ratio of 2.	183
Figure 9-14. Comparison of flow variables with the existing experimental work of Baaijens et al. [249] plotted along the centerline ($x/R=0$) for Newtonian, Giesekus, and second-order NCCR constitutive models, at $We=0.216$ and $Re=0.0001$: (a) velocity; (b) shear stress; (c) first normal stress difference. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University (2020,10)</i>).	186
Figure 9-15. Comparison of asymptotic variation of drag coefficient for Oldroyd-B model with the existing work of Hulsén et al. [102], Alves et al. [247], and Dou and Phan-Thien-Tanner [252], at varied Weissenberg numbers and $Re=0.0001$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University (2020,10)</i>).	188
Figure 9-16. Residual plot of flow variables of Oldroyd-B model for varied Weissenberg number: (a) $We = 1.0$; (b) $We = 10.0$ (numerical breakdown), at $Re=0.0001$. (Source:	

Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, *ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University* (2020,10))..... 189

Figure 9-17. Plot of numerical breakdown (or local maximum value) of flow variables for Oldroyd-B with the Newtonian, Giesekus ($\alpha = 0.05$), and second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1.0$) models, at varied Weissenberg numbers (red color, indicates that values are obtained just before the numerical breakdown) and $Re = 0.0001$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, *ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University* (2020,10)). 190

Figure 9-18. Asymptotic variation of local maximum of variables: (a) pressure ($p/(\mu_0 U/R)$); (b) magnitude of stress tensor ($\sqrt{(\boldsymbol{\tau}:\boldsymbol{\tau})}/(\mu_0 U/R)$); (c) sum of pressure and magnitude of stress tensor ($p + \sqrt{(\boldsymbol{\tau}:\boldsymbol{\tau})}/(\mu_0 U/R)$); obtained using the traceless formulation of Oldroyd-B, Giesekus, and second-order NCCR models, at varied Weissenberg numbers and $Re = 0.0001$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, *ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University* (2020,10)). 191

Figure 9-19. Comparison of contours of the magnitude of stress tensor for varied viscoelastic fluid models: (a) Oldroyd-B; (b) Giesekus ($\alpha = 0.05$); (c) second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1.0$); obtained using the trace and traceless formulations, at $We = 10.0$ and $Re = 0.0001$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, *ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University* (2020,10)). 192

Figure 9-20. Comparison of drag coefficients for Oldroyd-B, Giesekus ($\alpha = 0.05$), and second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1.0$) models using different stress formulations, at varied We and $Re = 0.0001$: (a) trace; (b) traceless; (c) both trace and traceless for second-order NCCR. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, *ACML-Internal Report, GNU* (2020,10)). 193

Figure 9-21. Schematic view of the die-swell geometry, with swell ratio $Sr = L_{max}/L$ 195

Figure 9-22. Comparison of steady filling of jet for present work (left) and experimental work (right), with input data $D = 4$ mm, $U = 1.0$ m/s, and $\nu = 0.005$ m²/s; at $Re = 0.8$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, *ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University* (2021,04)). 197

Figure 9-23. Comparison of jet buckling phenomena for present work (left) and experimental work (right), with input data $D = 3$ mm, $U = 0.5$ m/s, and $\nu = 0.010$ m²/s; at $Re =$

0.15. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,04)).	198
Figure 9-24. Comparison of extrudate swell ratio ($Sr = L_{max} / L$) of Giesekus model with varied α parameter against the work of Tome et al. [254], at $Re = 0.5$ and $We = 0.5$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,04)).	199
Figure 9-25. Comparison of die swell interface for Giesekus ($\alpha = 0.5$) and second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1.0$) models, at $We = 0.5$ and $Re = 0.5$: (a) without gravity; (b) with gravity. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,04)).	201
Figure 9-26. Comparison of die swell interface for constitutive models: (a) Newtonian; (b) Giesekus model ($\alpha = 0.5$, values are obtained just before the breakdown of numerical convergence), at $We = 2.5$ and $Re = 0.5$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,04)).	202
Figure 9-27. An experimental image of the die swell - barus effect for 2 wt% polyacrylamides (PAM) solutions. (Source: Bandyopadhyay R., <i>Pramana</i> (2013)).	203
Figure 9-28. Comparison of die swell interface for different constitutive models: (a) Newtonian; (b) second-order NCCR with $We = 2.5$; (c) second-order NCCR with $We = 5.0$; (d) second-order NCCR with $We = 10.0$, at $Re = 0.5$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,04)).	204
Figure 9-29. Comparison of flow variables: (a) velocity; (b) pressure; (c) normalized principal stress difference, obtained using the Newtonian and second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1.0$) models at varied locations ($y = 0.01, 0.0094, 0.0088$) of die swell, for $Re = 0.5$ and $We = 5.0$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,04)).	206
Figure 9-30. Comparison of flow variables: (a) velocity components; (b) pressure, normalized principal stress difference (PSD), and first normal stress difference (N_1), obtained using the Newtonian and second-order NCCR ($\alpha = 1.0$) models, along the centerline ($x = 0.0$) of the die swell, at $Re = 0.5$ and $We = 5.0$. (Source: Chourushi T. and R.S. Myong, <i>ACML-Internal Report, Gyeongsang National University</i> (2021,04)).	208

Figure 10-1. Contribution of the specific heat capacity to the temperature and degrees of freedom for hydrogen gas. (Source: Owczarek J.A., *International Textbook Company, Pennsylvania* (1964))...... 214

List of Tables

Table 1 Physical parameters for monatomic, diatomic, and polyatomic gases [142].	43
Table 2 Total number of basis functions for the computational element with an accuracy up to 5 th order [142].	59
Table 3 Bulk viscosity coefficient (f_b) and normalized specific heat ratio ($\bar{\gamma} = (5/3 - \gamma) / (2 \times (\gamma_{(\text{bound})} - 5/3))$) of different gases with $\gamma_{(\text{bound})} = 2$	84
Table 4 Summary of thermal and flow characteristics of nonequilibrium monatomic, diatomic, and polyatomic gases in CCF [185].	100
Table 5 Expressions for conformation tensor of different viscoelastic models.	135
Table 6 Normalized functional relationships for a convected variable $\hat{\phi}_f$ based on the High-Resolution Equi-Gradient (HREG) scheme for non-uniform and uniform meshes [230]....	165
Table 7 Experimental parameters for measurement of extensional and shear viscosity of viscoelastic fluid - Polystyrene (PS300K) [242, 243].	173
Table 8 Classification of various viscometers [244].	175
Table 9 Mesh characteristics of the two-dimensional computational domain of confined circular cylinder in a channel (NS \times NR per block).	184
Table 10 Physical parameters and initial conditions for the viscoelastic fluid in a die swell geometry.	200

Nomenclatures

Greek

α	-	angle of attack/viscoelastic model parameter
β	-	side-slip angle/retardation ratio
μ	-	first coefficient of dynamic (shear) viscosity
μ_b	-	coefficient of bulk viscosity
μ_E	-	extensional viscosity
μ_s, μ_p	-	solvent viscosity, polymeric viscosity
$\mu_{Normalized}$	-	normalized factor
λ	-	second coefficient of viscosity/relaxation time
γ	-	specific heat ratio
κ	-	heat conduction coefficient
Θ	-	vector of auxiliary variable
Θ_h	-	approximated auxiliary variable solution
Θ_h^L, Θ_h^R	-	approximated auxiliary solution for left and right state
ξ, η, ζ	-	reference coordinates
ρ	-	density
Γ	-	diffusion coefficient
λ_{mean}	-	mean free path
δ	-	boundary thickness layer of the body
σ, σ_{ij}	-	stress tensor
τ, τ_{ij}, Π	-	viscous stress tensor
$\Pi_{xx}, \Pi_{yy}, \Pi_{zz}, \tau_{xx}, \tau_{yy}, \tau_{zz}$	-	normal stress components
$\Pi_{xy}, \Pi_{xz}, \Pi_{yz}, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}$	-	viscous stress components
Δ	-	excess normal stress
$\epsilon, \epsilon_{ij}, \dot{\epsilon}$	-	symmetric or rate-of-strain tensor
$\dot{\gamma}$	-	shear-rate
ω, ω_{ij}	-	anti-symmetric tensor
$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}$	-	dilatational term
ζ	-	friction coefficient
ζ^{dof}	-	molecular internal degree of freedoms
$\zeta_{internal}^{dof}$	-	internal energy modes
$\mathcal{E}_{kinetic}$	-	specific kinetic energy per unit mass
$\mathcal{E}_{internal}$	-	specific internal energy per unit mass
$\mathcal{E}_{potential}$	-	specific potential energy per unit mass

\in	-	Hencky strain
ω	-	angular frequency
$\boldsymbol{\omega}_B$	-	vector of angular frequency
μ_{mass}	-	reduced mass of molecule
ν	-	exponent of the inverse power law
ψ	-	azimuth angle/distribution function
$\Lambda^{(n)}$	-	dissipation term
$\Psi^{(n)}$	-	high-order moments
$\hat{\Psi}$	-	local calortropy density
σ_c	-	calortropy production
σ_u, σ_T	-	momentum, thermal accommodation coefficients
D	-	dimension of the space
∇_v	-	gradient with respect to velocity-space
Ω	-	bounded domain of the body
Ω_h	-	approximated domain
Ω_e	-	discretization element (local element)
$\partial\Omega$	-	boundary of the domain Ω
$\partial\Omega_D, \partial\Omega_N$	-	region of Dirichlet boundary, region of Neumann boundary
\mathbb{P}^k	-	space of polynomial functions of degree at most k
\mathfrak{U}	-	test function
Ω_e^{st}	-	standard element
$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\xi}}$	-	jacobian of transformation
$P_n^{\alpha, \beta}$	-	orthogonal <i>Jacobi polynomials</i>
χ	-	flow properties
$\hat{\Lambda}_{inv}^x, \hat{\Lambda}_{inv}^y, \hat{\Lambda}_{inv}^z$	-	inviscid spectral radii
$\hat{\Lambda}_{vis}^x, \hat{\Lambda}_{vis}^y, \hat{\Lambda}_{vis}^z$	-	viscous spectral radii

Latin

L	-	characteristics length scale
T	-	time
Kn	-	Knudsen number based on the characteristic length scale
Kn_δ	-	Knudsen number based on boundary thickness layer
Kn_{GLL}	-	gradient length local-based Knudsen number
N_δ	-	composite number
B_{\max}	-	Bird's breakdown parameter

Kn_{max}	-	Boyd's breakdown parameter
M	-	Mach number
Re	-	Reynolds number
Pr	-	Prandtl number
Ec	-	Eckert number
St	-	Stanton number
We	-	Weissenberg number
\mathbf{n}	-	normal vector
\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}	-	position vector
\mathbf{v}	-	particle velocity
\mathbf{u}	-	bulk velocity vector
u, v, w	-	cartesian components of the velocity vector
$\underline{u}, \underline{v}$	-	microscopic solvent and bead velocities
\underline{R}	-	end-to-end vector after deformation
\mathbf{J}	-	Jacobian matrix
n	-	number density (molecule per unit volume)
d	-	molecular diameter
T	-	overall temperature
T_w	-	wall temperature
p	-	pressure
\bar{P}	-	mean pressure
K_B	-	Boltzmann constant
N_A	-	Avogadro number
R_{gas}	-	gas constant
\mathbf{R}	-	orthogonal tensor
C_{ijmn}	-	fourth-order viscosity coefficient tensor
f_b	-	ratio of bulk viscosity to shear viscosity
m	-	molecular mass
E_{total}	-	total energy
E_{kinetic}	-	kinetic energy
E_{internal}	-	internal energy
$E_{\text{potential}}$	-	potential energy
$E_{\text{vibrational}}$	-	vibrational energy
I	-	moment of inertia
\mathbf{j}, j	-	angular momentum, magnitude of angular momentum
C_p	-	specific heat at constant pressure
C_v	-	specific heat at constant volume
C_D	-	drag coefficient
C_τ	-	torque coefficient

s	-	viscosity index number
\mathbf{C}	-	peculiar velocity/conformation tensor
H_{total}, h, \hat{h}	-	total enthalpy, specific enthalpy, enthalpy density
\mathbf{P}	-	pressure tensor
\mathbf{Q}	-	heat flux vector
Q_x, Q_y, Q_z	-	heat flux components
T_x, T_y, T_z	-	temperature components
\mathbf{I}	-	unit tensor
H_{rot}	-	rotational Hamiltonian of the molecule
\bar{E}_{rot}	-	average rotational energy density
$f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}, t)$	-	single-particle distribution
$f^0(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}, t)$	-	equilibrium distribution function
f^c	-	nonequilibrium canonical distribution function
$\mathbf{F}^{external}$	-	vector of external forces
$C(f, f_2)$	-	collision operator
\mathbf{L}_r	-	internal Liouville operator
$h^{(n)}$	-	molecular expression for moments
$\mathbf{Z}^{(n)}$	-	kinematic term arising from the hydrodynamic streaming effect
q_{1st}	-	first-order dissipation term
q_{2nd}	-	second-order dissipation term
k_1	-	first cumulant expansion
\hat{R}	-	Rayleigh-Onsager dissipation function
\vec{S}	-	face vector
S_x, S_y, S_z	-	components of face vector
$\Delta\hat{S}^x, \Delta\hat{S}^y, \Delta\hat{S}^z$	-	Projections of the control volume
\mathbf{U}	-	vector of conservative variables
\mathbf{U}_h	-	approximated solution of conservative variables
\mathbf{U}_{BC}	-	boundary state variable
$\mathbf{U}_h^L, \mathbf{U}_h^R$	-	approximate solution for left and right states
\mathbf{F}^{inv}	-	inviscid flux function
\mathbf{F}^{vis}	-	viscous flux function
N_δ	-	non-equilibrium parameter
N_E	-	number of elements
N_F	-	number of faces
N_k	-	number of basis functions

N_1, N_2	-	first and second normal stress difference
b_k	-	basis function
$\mathbf{H}_{auxiliary}, \mathbf{H}_{auxiliary}^b$	-	numerical auxiliary flux, numerical boundary auxiliary flux
$\mathbf{H}_{inviscid}, \mathbf{H}_{inviscid}^b$	-	numerical inviscid flux, numerical boundary inviscid flux
$\mathbf{H}_{viscous}, \mathbf{H}_{viscous}^b$	-	numerical viscous flux, numerical boundary viscous flux
\mathbf{M}	-	mass matrix
\mathbf{M}^{-1}	-	inverse of the mass matrix
$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U})$	-	residual vector of \mathbf{U} variables
T_e	-	affine sub-parametric transformation
Tr	-	Trouton ratio

Abbreviation

DG	-	Discontinuous Galerkin
FD	-	Finite difference
FV	-	Finite volume
FE	-	Finite element
SD	-	Spectral difference
SV	-	Spectral volume
<i>CFL</i>	-	Courant–Friedrichs-Lewy number
RK	-	Runge-Kutta
RKDG		Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin
TVB	-	Total variation bounded
TVD	-	Total variation diminishing
NVD	-	Normalized variable diagram
CBC	-	Convection boundedness criterion
BAIR	-	Boundedness, accuracy, and interpolative reasonableness
BR1	-	First Bassi-Rebay scheme
BR2	-	Second Bassi-Rebay scheme
DOF	-	Degree of freedom
LDG	-	Local discontinuous Galerkin
NSF	-	Navier-Stokes-Fourier
LTE	-	Local thermal equilibrium
NF	-	Navier-Fourier
NCCR	-	Nonlinear coupled constitutive relations
MD	-	Molecular dynamics
DSMC	-	Direct simulation of Monte Carlo
CCF	-	Cylindrical Couette flow
OREX	-	Orbital Re-entry experiment
HWNP	-	High Weissenberg number problem
BSD	-	Both-side-diffusion
PDPS	-	Positive definiteness preserving scheme
LCR	-	Log conformation tensor representation
SRCR	-	Square root conformation representation

UCM	-	Upper convected derivative
PTT	-	Phan-Thien-Tanner
PS	-	Polystyrene
PAM	-	Polyacrylamides
VOF	-	Volume-of-fluid
CSF	-	Continuum surface force
MULES	-	Multidimensional universal limiter with explicit solution
PISO	-	Pressure-implicit with the splitting of operators
UDS	-	Upwind difference scheme
HRS	-	High-Resolution schemes
HREG	-	High-Resolution equi-gradient scheme
PSD	-	Principal stress difference

Abstract

Computational simulation of Boltzmann-based hydrodynamic models for rarefied and microscale gases and viscoelastic fluids in highly non-equilibrium state

Tushar Chourushi

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Graduate School, Gyeongsang National University

Supervised by Prof. Rho Shin Myong

Fluid flows are governed by a complicated nonlinear system of partial differential equations. In many situations, the mean free path of gas-particle becomes comparable to the characteristic physical length scale or the relaxation time scale for liquid becomes similar to the characteristic time scale, of the flow process. At this stage, the flow processes exhibit non-equilibrium as it significantly deviates from the local state of equilibrium. It is well known that the two-century-old so-called Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations are based on the vital assumptions of local-thermal-equilibrium (made by Stokes in 1845 that the bulk viscosity vanishes), and as a result, their validity may be seriously questioned in states away from the equilibrium. Therefore, it becomes essential to understand the nonlinear flow phenomena associated with these fluids, to design the fluid flow system efficiently and accurately.

The thesis explores the Boltzmann-based hydrodynamic models for describing the rarefied and microscale gas flows, and viscoelastic fluid systems using the higher-order computational methodology. In the first half of the thesis, the second-order constitutive laws based on Eu's generalized hydrodynamics and Myong's balanced closure, for diatomic (and linear polyatomic) gas molecules are presented. A computational attempt is made to investigate the

thermal nonequilibrium associated with different gas types under nonequilibrium conditions. In the next half, the “sinh” second-order nonlinear coupled constitutive relations (NCCR) based on the Rayleigh-Onsager quadratic dissipation for viscoelastic fluid are detailed. Next, a plausible way to overcome the stumbling block of numerical simulations, the so-called High Weissenberg Number Problem (HWNP) is explored.

In this study, the higher-order, h -adaptivity scheme, the so-called discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods were employed for solving the compressible rarefied gas flows to obtain the solution of the two- and three-dimensional flow problems. A summary of numerical implementation of various limiters, numerical flux functions, and boundary conditions is provided for the pedagogical purpose. In the other part of the thesis, the incompressible viscoelastic fluids were solved using the higher-order finite volume schemes in the framework of OpenFOAM. Further briefing on discretization techniques for constitutive equations, high-resolution schemes, the volume-of-fluid method is presented.

The presented methodologies are verified and validated using the analytics, the available experiments, and the existing results. In the case of rarefied gas flows, various benchmark tests using the first- and second-order Boltzmann-Curtiss-based models along with the slip and jump conditions are investigated. The results obtained using the second-order constitutive model with the slip and jump conditions showed better agreement with the existing studies, under the nonequilibrium conditions. Correspondingly, various benchmark tests of viscoelastic fluid using different constitutive models are studied. The results obtained using the viscoelastic “sinh” second-order NCCR model suggested that the HWNP are effectively overcome in comparison with the existing viscoelastic models.